### Schur Complement and Matrix Completion

Fei Wang f49wang@uwaterloo.ca

University of Waterloo

November 12, 2020

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

# Background

Suppose that we are given a rank r matrix M of size  $m \times n$  where a subset of entries are sampled.

The goal is to recover the missing entries from the known entries of matrix M.

Find 
$$M$$
  
s.t.  $\mathcal{P}_{\hat{E}}(M) = z$  (1)  
rank $(M) = r$ 

The matrix L can be a general matrix or a positive semidefinite matrix.

# Background

Suppose that we are given a rank r matrix M of size  $m \times n$  where a subset of entries are sampled.

The goal is to recover the missing entries from the known entries of matrix M.

Find 
$$M$$
  
s.t.  $\mathcal{P}_{\hat{E}}(M) = z$  (1)  
rank $(M) = r$ 

The matrix L can be a general matrix or a positive semidefinite matrix.

We will discuss the sufficient and necessary conditions such that a completion of the matrix is unique. Our main tool is Schur Complement.

Recall the basic property of Schur complement:

Lemma 1 [1] Consider the partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

if we assume that  $\operatorname{Range}(B) \subseteq \operatorname{Range}(A)$  and  $\operatorname{Range}(C^{T}) \subseteq \operatorname{Range}(A^{T})$ , then  $M/A = D - CA^{\dagger}B$  is well-defined and

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -CA^{\dagger} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & -A^{\dagger}B \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & M/A \end{bmatrix}$$

and hence

$$rank(M) = rank(A) + rank(M/A).$$

Here we can assume  $A^{\dagger}$  is the Moore-Penrose pseudo inverse.

We first start with a simple case.

Theorem 2 Consider the partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

where rank(M) = r, and the blocks A, B, C are fixed. Then M is unique if and only if rank(A) = r.

We first start with a simple case.

Theorem 2 Consider the partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

where rank(M) = r, and the blocks A, B, C are fixed. Then M is unique if and only if rank(A) = r.

#### Proof.

For sufficiency, first assume rank(A) = r. Let  $M/A = D - CA^{\dagger}B$  be the generalized Schur complement.

Then we have rank(M/A) + rank(A) = rank(M). Therefore rank(M/A) = 0 and  $D = CA^{\dagger}B$  is unique.

For necessity, assume rank(A) < r, assume Range(B)  $\subseteq$  Range(A) and Range( $C^{T}$ )  $\subseteq$  Range( $A^{T}$ ). Then by Lemma 1 we have the following equality

$$\operatorname{rank}(D - CA^{\dagger}B) + \operatorname{rank}(A) = \operatorname{rank}(M)$$

Since rank(A) < r and rank(M) = r, we have rank( $D - CA^{\dagger}B$ ) = rank(M) - rank(A) =  $\bar{r} > 0$ . Let  $D = CA^{\dagger}B + E$ where E is an arbitrary matrix of rank  $\bar{r}$ . Therefore D is not unique.

For necessity, assume rank(A) < r, assume Range(B)  $\subseteq$  Range(A) and Range( $C^{T}$ )  $\subseteq$  Range( $A^{T}$ ). Then by Lemma 1 we have the following equality

$$\operatorname{rank}(D - CA^{\dagger}B) + \operatorname{rank}(A) = \operatorname{rank}(M)$$

Since rank(A) < r and rank(M) = r, we have rank( $D - CA^{\dagger}B$ ) = rank(M) - rank(A) =  $\bar{r} > 0$ . Let  $D = CA^{\dagger}B + E$ where E is an arbitrary matrix of rank  $\bar{r}$ . Therefore D is not unique.

- Now suppose either Range(B) ⊈ Range(A) or Range(C<sup>T</sup>) ⊈ Range(A<sup>T</sup>). Without loss assume Range(C<sup>T</sup>) ⊈ Range(A<sup>T</sup>).
- 2. Then Null(A)  $\nsubseteq$  Null(C) which means there exists a column vector x such that Ax = 0,  $Cx \neq 0$ .

3. Now the column vector  $\begin{bmatrix} Ax \\ Cx \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Cx \end{bmatrix}$  to any column of  $\begin{pmatrix} B \\ D \end{pmatrix}$  without changing the rank of M

#### Corollary 3

Let Z, rank(Z) = r, be the following matrix with two intersecting bicliques and corresponding submatrices X and Y which are fixed,

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & X_1 & X_2 \\ Y_1 & Q & X_3 \\ Y_2 & Y_3 & Z_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ Q & X_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 & Q \\ Y_2 & Y_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(2)$$
submatrix Q is the part that lies in both X and Y. If rank(Q) = r, then Z is unique.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Proof.

Simple.

However, the necessity may not be true. Consider the following example

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & 6 & 5 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 & Z_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

Assume  $\operatorname{rank}(Z) = 2$  and  $\operatorname{rank}(Q) = 1 < \operatorname{rank}(Z)$ . However,  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are still unique and by basic linear algebra we have  $Z_1 = 4$  and  $Z_2 = 1$ .

However, the necessity may not be true. Consider the following example

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & 6 & 5 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 & Z_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

Assume  $\operatorname{rank}(Z) = 2$  and  $\operatorname{rank}(Q) = 1 < \operatorname{rank}(Z)$ . However,  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are still unique and by basic linear algebra we have  $Z_1 = 4$  and  $Z_2 = 1$ .

Later we will show that this is the case for positive semidefinite matrices!

### Generalization

1. From Theorem 2, if we have two bicliques such that their intersection has the target rank, we can now merge these two bicliques into one bigger biclique and recover the corresponding missing entries of Z.

## Generalization

1. From Theorem 2, if we have two bicliques such that their intersection has the target rank, we can now merge these two bicliques into one bigger biclique and recover the corresponding missing entries of Z.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへぐ

2. We can then use this bigger biclique to merge with other bicliques.

## Generalization

- 1. From Theorem 2, if we have two bicliques such that their intersection has the target rank, we can now merge these two bicliques into one bigger biclique and recover the corresponding missing entries of *Z*.
- 2. We can then use this bigger biclique to merge with other bicliques.
- 3. This process can carry on until all the missing entries are recovered given enough bicliques.

#### Theorem 4

Consider the partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ \hline A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

where rank(M) = r, and the blocks A, B, C, F are fixed. Then M is unique if and only if rank(A) = r and rank(B) = r.

#### Theorem 4

Consider the partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

where rank(M) = r, and the blocks A, B, C, F are fixed. Then M is unique if and only if rank(A) = r and rank(B) = r.

### Proof.

Suppose rank(A) = rank(B) = r, then it is obvious that D, E are unique by Theorem 2.

For necessity, without loss we assume  $rank(A) = \overline{r} < r$ . By a permutation, let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ E & F \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}.$$

If rank( $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ E \end{bmatrix}$ ) < r, then by Therem 2, D is not unique so M is not unique Proof. If rank $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ E \end{pmatrix}$  = r, then we have Range $(B) \subseteq$  Range(A). Now we partition A, E, C such that

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & B \\ E_1 & E_2 & F \\ C_1 & C_2 & D \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $A_1$  has full column rank  $\bar{r}$ . So we have  $\text{Range}(A_1) = \text{Range}(A)$ .

Let  $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4$  be the four Schur complements corresponding to  $E_2, F, C_2, D$  such that  $M_1 = E_2 - E_1 A_1^{\dagger} A_2, M_2 = F - E_1 A_1^{\dagger} B, M_3 = C_2 - C_1 A_1^{\dagger} A_2, M_4 = D - C_1 A_1^{\dagger} B.$ 

Proof. If rank( $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ E \end{bmatrix}$ ) = r, then we have Range(B)  $\subseteq$  Range(A). Now we partition A, E, C such that

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & B \\ E_1 & E_2 & F \\ C_1 & C_2 & D \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $A_1$  has full column rank  $\bar{r}$ . So we have  $\text{Range}(A_1) = \text{Range}(A)$ .

Let  $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4$  be the four Schur complements corresponding to  $E_2, F, C_2, D$  such that  $M_1 = E_2 - E_1 A_1^{\dagger} A_2$ ,  $M_2 = F - E_1 A_1^{\dagger} B$ ,  $M_3 = C_2 - C_1 A_1^{\dagger} A_2$ ,  $M_4 = D - C_1 A_1^{\dagger} B$ .

Since  $\operatorname{Range}(A_2) \subseteq \operatorname{Range}(A_1)$ ,  $\operatorname{Range}(B) \subseteq \operatorname{Range}(A_1)$  and  $\operatorname{Range}(E_1^T) \subseteq \operatorname{Range}(A_1^T)$ ,  $\operatorname{Range}(C_1^T) \subseteq \operatorname{Range}(A_1^T)$ , we have

$$\operatorname{rank}(M) = \operatorname{rank}(A_1) + \operatorname{rank}(\begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{bmatrix}) = r$$

Also

$$\operatorname{rank}\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ E \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rank}(A_1) + \operatorname{rank}(M_1) = r.$$
  
Therefore  $\operatorname{rank}(M_1) = \operatorname{rank}\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{bmatrix}) = r - \overline{r}$  and we have
$$M_4 = M_3 M_1^{\dagger} M_2.$$
 (4)

・ロト・個ト・ヨト・ヨト ヨー うへの

Also

$$\operatorname{rank}\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ E \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rank}(A_1) + \operatorname{rank}(M_1) = r.$$
  
Therefore  $\operatorname{rank}(M_1) = \operatorname{rank}\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{bmatrix}) = r - \overline{r}$  and we have  
$$M_4 = M_3 M_1^{\dagger} M_2.$$
(4)

Now  $M_1 \neq 0$ , since rank $(M_1) = r - \bar{r} > 0$ , we can perturb  $E_2$  such that  $\bar{E}_2 = E_2 + M_1$  and perturb D such that  $\bar{D} = D - \frac{1}{2}M_4$  and the corresponding full perturbed matrix is  $\bar{M}$ . After similar arguments we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rank}(\bar{M}) &= \operatorname{rank}(A_1) + \operatorname{rank}(\begin{bmatrix} 2M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & \frac{1}{2}M_4 \end{bmatrix}) \\ &= \operatorname{rank}(A_1) + \operatorname{rank}(2M_1) + \operatorname{rank}(\frac{1}{2}M_4 - M_3(2M_1)^{\dagger}M_2) \\ &= \operatorname{rank}(A_1) + \operatorname{rank}(2M_1) \quad (\text{due to } (4)) \\ &= \bar{r} + r - \bar{r} = r. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore M is not unique.

The more general case is also true:

### Theorem 5 Consider the partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} F & H & E \\ A & G & B \\ C & K & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

where  $\operatorname{rank}(M) = r$ , and the blocks A, B, C, E, G are fixed. Then the matrix M is unique if and only if  $\operatorname{rank}(A) = r$  and  $\operatorname{rank}(B) = r$ .

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

#### Proof.

Without loss we assume rank(A) < r. Now let B = [G, B], E = [H, E], the result follows directly from Theorem 4.

#### Theorem 6 Consider the partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} F & H & E \\ A & G & B \\ C & K & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

where rank(M) = r, and the blocks F, A, G, K, D are fixed. Then the matrix M is unique if and only if rank(A) = rank(G) = rank(K) = r.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

#### Theorem 6 Consider the partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} F & H & E \\ A & G & B \\ C & K & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

where rank(M) = r, and the blocks F, A, G, K, D are fixed. Then the matrix M is unique if and only if rank(A) = rank(G) = rank(K) = r.

#### Proof.

If rank(A) < r or rank(K) < r, then according to Theorem 4, M is not unique. Therefore we only need to consider the case when rank(G) < r. If rank( $\begin{bmatrix} H \\ G \end{bmatrix}$ ) < r or rank( $\begin{bmatrix} G & B \end{bmatrix}$ ) < r, then again according to Theorem 4, M is not unique. Therefore we consider the case where rank( $\begin{bmatrix} H \\ G \end{bmatrix}$ ) = r and rank( $\begin{bmatrix} G & B \end{bmatrix}$ ) = r.

#### Proof. By a permutation, let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} G & B & A \\ H & E & F \\ K & D & C \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}.$$

Let  $P = \begin{vmatrix} G & B \\ H & E \end{vmatrix}$ , since rank(G) < r, by Theorem 2, there exists a different  $\overline{E}$  and  $\overline{P}$  such that rank $(\overline{P}) = r$ , we let  $\bar{C} = \begin{bmatrix} K & D \end{bmatrix} \bar{P}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ F \end{bmatrix}$ ), since Range $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ F \end{bmatrix}$ )  $\subseteq$  Range $\begin{pmatrix} G \\ H \end{bmatrix}$ ) and  $\mathsf{Range}(\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{T}} \\ D^{\mathcal{T}} \end{bmatrix}) \subseteq \mathsf{Range}(\begin{bmatrix} G^{\,\prime} \\ B^{\,\mathcal{T}} \end{bmatrix}), \text{ we have }$  $\operatorname{rank}(\bar{M}) = \operatorname{rank}(\bar{P}) + \operatorname{rank}(\bar{C} - \begin{bmatrix} K & D \end{bmatrix} \bar{P}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ F \end{bmatrix}) = \operatorname{rank}(\bar{P}) = r.$ The corresponding  $\overline{M}$  is different from M and the proof is finished.

### Theorem 7 Consider the partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} E & H & E \\ A & G & B \\ C & K & D \\ J & I & L \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

where  $\operatorname{rank}(M) = r$ , and the blocks F, A, G, K, D, L are fixed. Then the matrix M is unique if and only if  $\operatorname{rank}(A) = \operatorname{rank}(G) = \operatorname{rank}(K) = \operatorname{rank}(D) = r$ .

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

#### Proof.

Direct consequences from Theorem 4 and Theorem 6.

The above arguments can be extended into a more general case where we have a stair case of known block matrices. We conclude that the whole matrix is unique if and only if every "corner" matrix has rank r.

The above arguments can be extended into a more general case where we have a stair case of known block matrices. We conclude that the whole matrix is unique if and only if every "corner" matrix has rank r.

#### Theorem 8

Given a low rank matrix  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and a partial sampling  $\mathcal{P}_{\hat{E}}(Z) = z$ . If by a permutation there exists a chain of bicliques  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_l$  with the corresponding edge sets  $E_1, \cdots, E_l$ . Assume  $\cup_{i=1}^{l} E_i = \hat{E}$  and for any i we have  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset \ \forall j > i + 2 \mod l$  and the union of all the vertices of the bicliques satisfy  $\cup_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i = \{1, ..., m\} \times \{1, ..., n\}$ . Then the matrix Z can be uniquely recovered if and only if  $\operatorname{rank}(X_{\alpha_i \cap \alpha_{i+1}}) = r, i = 1, ..., l - 1$ 

### Figures



Matrix is uniquely completable if and only if all gray matrices have the same rank as the big matrix.

▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 → りへ (?)

We recall the following theorem about symmetric matrix:

Theorem 9 Suppose M is symmetric and partitioned as

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix},$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

in which A and C are square. Then  $M \succeq 0$  if and only if  $A \succeq 0$ ,  $\text{Range}(B) \subseteq \text{Range}(A)$ , and  $M/A \succeq 0$ .

### Theorem 10 Consider the partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

where  $\operatorname{rank}(M) = r$  and  $M \succeq 0$  in which A, C are square, and the blocks A, B are fixed. Then there exists a unique positive semidefinite matrix M if and only if  $\operatorname{rank}(A) = r$ .

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

### Theorem 10 Consider the partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

where  $\operatorname{rank}(M) = r$  and  $M \succeq 0$  in which A, C are square, and the blocks A, B are fixed. Then there exists a unique positive semidefinite matrix M if and only if  $\operatorname{rank}(A) = r$ .

### Proof.

For necessity, assume rank(A) < r, the existence of  $M \succeq 0$  ensures Range(B)  $\subseteq$  Range(A) and  $A \succeq 0$ , therefore

Since rank(M/A) + rank(A) = rank(M) Since rank(A) < r and rank(M) = r, we have rank( $C - B^T A^{\dagger} B$ ) = rank(M) - rank(A) =  $\bar{r} > 0$ . We can then let  $C = \begin{bmatrix} B^T A^{\dagger} B + E \\ M B^T A^{\dagger} B + E \end{bmatrix}$  where E is an arbitrary positive semidefinite matrix of rank  $\bar{r}$ . Proof. Hence by Theorem 9

$$\bar{M} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^{\mathsf{T}} & B^{\mathsf{T}} A^{\dagger} B + E \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0.$$

Therefore M is not unique.



Proof. Hence by Theorem 9

$$\bar{M} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^{T} & B^{T} A^{\dagger} B + E \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0.$$

Therefore M is not unique.

Theorem 11 Consider the partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B & D \\ B^T & C & E \\ D^T & E^T & F \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

*M* is symmetric and positive semidefinite and the diagonal elements of *M* are all nonzeros. Suppose *A*, *B*, *C*, *E*, *F* are fixed and rank(M) = r. Then *M* can be uniquely completed if and only if rank(C) = r.

The if part is obvious, now we prove the only if part.

Let  $H = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{bmatrix}$  and assume  $\operatorname{rank}([C]) < r$  and  $\operatorname{rank}(H) = r$ . Let  $\tilde{D} = D + X$ , then by Schur complement, X = 0 is a solution of the equation

$$F - [\tilde{D}^{T}, E^{T}]H^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D} \\ E \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ D \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Parg} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B^{T} \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

The if part is obvious, now we prove the only if part.

Let  $H = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{bmatrix}$  and assume  $\operatorname{rank}([C]) < r$  and  $\operatorname{rank}(H) = r$ . Let  $\tilde{D} = D + X$ , then by Schur complement, X = 0 is a solution of the equation

$$F - [\tilde{D}^{T}, E^{T}]H^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D} \\ E \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Therefore this equation is homogeneous and we can assume (5) has the following form:

$$[X^{T},0](\frac{1}{2}H^{\dagger}\begin{bmatrix}X\\0\end{bmatrix} + H^{\dagger}\begin{bmatrix}D\\E\end{bmatrix}) + ([X^{T},0]\frac{1}{2}H^{\dagger} + [D^{T} E^{T}]H^{\dagger})\begin{bmatrix}X\\0\end{bmatrix}$$
(6)

The if part is obvious, now we prove the only if part.

Let  $H = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{bmatrix}$  and assume  $\operatorname{rank}([C]) < r$  and  $\operatorname{rank}(H) = r$ . Let  $\tilde{D} = D + X$ , then by Schur complement, X = 0 is a solution of the equation

$$F - [\tilde{D}^T, E^T] H^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D} \\ E \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$
 (5)

Therefore this equation is homogeneous and we can assume (5) has the following form:  $\chi^{\dagger}, \chi^{\dagger}, \chi^{\dagger}, \chi^{\dagger} = 0$ 

$$[X^{T}, 0](\frac{1}{2}H^{\dagger}\begin{bmatrix}X\\0\end{bmatrix} + H^{\dagger}\begin{bmatrix}D\\E\end{bmatrix}) + ([X^{T}, 0]\frac{1}{2}H^{\dagger} + [D^{T} E^{T}]H^{\dagger})\begin{bmatrix}X\\0\end{bmatrix}$$
(6)
Let  $H^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix}A^{\dagger} B^{\dagger}\\(B^{\dagger})^{T} C^{\dagger}\end{bmatrix}$  and consider  $H^{\dagger}\begin{bmatrix}X\\0\end{bmatrix} + 2H^{\dagger}\begin{bmatrix}D\\E\end{bmatrix}$  Clearly
we only need to require  $A^{\dagger}X - 2(A^{\dagger}D + BE) = 0$  such that
equation (6) holds true.

1.  $A^{\dagger}D + \overline{BE} = 0$  implies D = E = 0 since  $\begin{bmatrix} D & E \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Range}(H) = \text{Range}(H^{\dagger}).$ 



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

- 2. It implies F = 0 since rank(H) = rank(M) = r which contradicts our assumption that the diagonal elements are all nonzeros.
- 3. Let  $2(A^{\dagger}D + B^{\dagger}E) = G$ , then  $G \neq 0$  and  $X = AG \neq 0$  is a solution.

- 1.  $A^{\dagger}D + \overline{B}E = 0$  implies D = E = 0 since  $\begin{bmatrix} D & E \end{bmatrix} \in \operatorname{Range}(H) = \operatorname{Range}(H^{\dagger}).$
- 2. It implies F = 0 since rank(H) =rank(M) = r which contradicts our assumption that the diagonal elements are all nonzeros.
- 3. Let  $2(A^{\dagger}D + B^{\dagger}E) = G$ , then  $G \neq 0$  and  $X = AG \neq 0$  is a solution.

Now we need to show that  $\begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Range}(H) = \text{Range}(H^{\dagger})$ . 1. This is true if  $\text{Range}(\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B^T \end{bmatrix}) \cap \text{Range}(\begin{bmatrix} B \\ C \end{bmatrix}) = \{0\}$ .

- 2. This assumption may not hold in general, however, since rank(C) < rank(H), we can perform symmetrical row and column elementary operations such that it holds.
- 3. After elementary row and column operations and a nonzero X is found, we can do reverse operations such that the fixed elements of M stay the same.

#### Theorem 12

Suppose the observed entries of a positive semidefinite matrix of rank r are blocked diagonal with overlapping matrices. Then matrix M can be uniquely recovered if and only if the overlapping matrices also have rank r.

#### Theorem 12

Suppose the observed entries of a positive semidefinite matrix of rank r are blocked diagonal with overlapping matrices. Then matrix M can be uniquely recovered if and only if the overlapping matrices also have rank r.



### Future work

- 1. Algorithms to permute matrices to blocked diagonal with overlapping submatrices.
- 2. Connection to rigidity theory: The Lindenstrauss mapping,  $\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{S}_n \to \mathcal{S}_n$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}(X)_{ij} := X_{ii} + X_{jj} - 2X_{ij}. \subset \mathcal{V}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

# Bibliography I

Roger A Horn and Fuzhen Zhang. "Basic properties of the Schur complement". In: The Schur Complement and Its Applications. Springer, 2005, pp. 17–46.

Thank You for Your Attention!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで