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- ( $G, p$ ) is called (locally) rigid if there exists a neighborhood of $p$ in which every framework $(G, q)$ that is equivalent to $(G, p)$ is congruent to $(G, p)$.
- $(G, p)$ is called globally rigid if every framework $(G, q)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ equivalent to $(G, p)$ is congruent to $(G, p)$.
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## Rigidity (cont.)

- A finite motion of $(G, p)$ is a one-parameter family $\left(G, p_{t}\right)$ with $p_{0}=p$ and $\left(G, p_{t}\right)$ equivalent to $(G, p)$ for all $t \in[0,1)$.
- A finite motion is non-trivial if not all the $\left(G, p_{t}\right)$ are congruent to $(G, p)$.
- A framework is flexible if it has a non-trivial finite motion.
- Theorem (Asimov-Roth, 78): Not rigid is equivalent to flexible.


Figure: A flexible and a rigid framework in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
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- The $|E| \times d|V|$ matrix of this system (with $p^{\prime}$ unknown) is the rigidity matrix $R(p)$ of ( $G, p$ ).
- $p^{\prime}$ is called trivial if it arises as the derivative of a rigid motion of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, restricted to $p$.
- A framework $(G, p)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is infinitesimally rigid if every infinitesimal motion of it is trivial. Otherwise ( $G, p$ ) is infinitesimally flexible.
- The dimension of the space of trivial infinitesimal motions of a framework $(G, p)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $|V| \geq d$ is $\binom{d+1}{2}$. Thus, $(G, p)$ is infinitesimally rigid if and only if $\operatorname{rank} R(p)=d|V|-\binom{d+1}{2}$.
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## Generic rigidity

- $p$ is generic if the coordinates are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.
- Theorem (Asimov-Roth, 78) Fix a dimension $d$ and let $G$ be a graph with $|V| \geq d$. If a framework $(G, p)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is infinitesimally rigid, then it is rigid. If $(G, p)$ is generic and infinitesimally flexible, then it is flexible.
- Cor.: If a generic framework $(G, p)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is rigid then all generic realizations of $G$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ are rigid.
- A graph $G$ is rigid (in dimension $d$ ) if some (any) generic realization of $G$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is rigid.
If $G$ is rigid in dimension $d$, but no proper spanning subgraph of $G$ is rigid in dimension $d$, then $G$ is isostatic in dimension $d$.
- There are well known combinatorial characterisations of isostatic or rigid graphs in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ (e.g. Pollaczek-Geiringer, 1927, and Laman, 1970). Such a characterisation has not yet been found for higher dimensions.
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Bars in each coordination class are all incident to the same point (center of the spheres) and bars in the same class all have the same length.

- Want to consider a more general set-up: Identify k "coordination classes" of edges which are allowed to change their length, subject to edge length differences being preserved within each coordination class.
- Understanding structures appearing in engineering or materials science (e.g., mechanical linkages driven by coordinated pumps, or materials expanding at different rates when heated).
- Methodology for design of meta-materials.


## Examples in 2D
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- A coordinated framework ( $G, c, p, r$ ) is given by a $k$-coordinated graph $(G, c)$ and a placement $(p, r)$.
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## Equivalence and congruence

- ( $G, c, p, r$ ) and ( $G, c, q, s$ ) are equivalent if
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Figure: Two equivalent but non-congruent coordinated frameworks in the plane with $k=1$.
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- Note: A finite motion preserves the edge-length differences between pairs of edges in the same coordination class.
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- Theorem: Infinitesimal and finite rigidity are equivalent for generic coordinated frameworks (by an Asimov-Roth type argument).
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- Fix a dimension $d$ and let $n \geq d$. Let $E^{n}$ be the edges of $K_{n}$. The matroid $M_{d, n}$ on $E^{n}$ of rank $d n-\binom{d+\overline{1}}{2}$ that has as its bases the isostatic graphs with $n$ vertices in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is called the $d$-dimensional rigidity matroid of $K_{n}$.
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- A subset $E^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right\}$ of edges in $G$ is redundant if $G \backslash E^{\prime}$ has the same rank as $G$ in $M_{d, n}$.
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- Theorem: Let $(G, c)$ be a $k$-coordinated graph, and let $T_{E}(\mathcal{E})$ be the transversal matroid on $E$ induced by the coordination classes $\mathcal{E}=\left\{E_{1}, \ldots, E_{k}\right\}$. Then the $d$-dimensional $k$-coordinated rigidity matroid of $(G, c)$ is the union $M_{d}(G) \vee T_{E}(\mathcal{E})$.


## Proof (necessity): key lemma

- To prove necessity, we need the following specialised fact from matroid theory (see, e.g., T. Brylawski, Constructions. in Theory of Matroids, N. White, editor, Cambridge UniversityPress, 1986).
- Lemma: Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be two linearly representable matroids (over $\mathbb{R}$ ) on the same ground set. Then the matroid union $M_{1} \vee M_{2}$ is also linearly representable, and a representation may be obtained by a matrix

$$
(A, D B)
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where the rows of $A$ represent $M_{1}$, the rows of $B$ represent $M_{2}$, and $D$ is a diagonal matrix of algebraically independent transcendentals.
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- Since ( $G, c, p, r$ ) is infinitesimally rigid, we have equality throughout. Let $E^{\prime} \subseteq E$ give the maximum in (3), and let $G^{\prime}$ be induced by $E \backslash E^{\prime}$.
- Since
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the framework $\left(G^{\prime}, p\right)$ is infinitesimally rigid. This makes the edges in $E^{\prime}$ redundant. Since $\operatorname{rank}_{T_{E}(\mathcal{E})}\left(E^{\prime}\right)=k, E^{\prime}$ is a transversal of $\mathcal{E}$.
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- Idea for latter step: make the edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}$ all very short. This makes the stress coefficients on them, relatively, large.


## Proof (sufficiency): key lemmas

- Lemma 1: Suppose that $(G, p)$ is a generic framework so that ( $G \backslash e, p$ ) is isostatic (and hence e is redundant). Let $e=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}$ and define $p^{t}$ to be like $p$ except $p^{t}\left(i_{2}\right)=t p\left(i_{2}\right)+(1-t) p\left(i_{1}\right)$. Let $\omega^{t}$ be the equilibrium stress of $\left(G, p^{t}\right)$ with $\omega^{t}(e)=1$. Then for all other edges $f$, we have for generic $p_{t}$,
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\left|\omega^{t}(f)\right| \rightarrow 0
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as $t \rightarrow 0$.
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- Lemma 2: Suppose that $(G, p)$ is a generic framework so that $(G \backslash e, p)$ is isostatic (and hence $e$ is redundant). Then ( $G, p$ ) has a unique equilibrium stress $\omega$ where $\omega(e)=1$ and for all other edges $f$, we have

$$
\omega(f)=\left(\operatorname{det} R_{e \rightarrow x}^{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}}(p)\right)^{-1} \operatorname{det}\left(R_{f \rightarrow e}^{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}}(p)\right)
$$

where the $i_{j}$ are any tie-down vertices and $R_{f \rightarrow e}^{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}}$ is obtained by removing the row corresponding to $e$ and then replacing the row corresponding to $f$ with it and $R_{e \rightarrow x}^{i_{1} \ldots, i_{d}}$ by simply dropping the row corresponding to $e$.
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- Repeat the process on $H_{2}=H \cup\left\{e_{2}\right\}$ starting from $\left(H, p^{1}\right)$. Because of the transversal structure, the second entry of $\omega_{2} \mathbb{1}(c)$ becomes much larger than the other entries.
- During this step, the equilibirum stress corresponding to $\omega_{1}$ will change continuously. However, using Lemma 2, we see that the change in the stress coefficients is bounded by a constant $\Delta$. If $\Delta \varepsilon_{1}<1$ we can continue. This is guaranteed by $\varepsilon_{1} \ll \varepsilon_{2}$.
- Eventually we arrive at a configuration $p^{k}$ which is generic and has $W \mathbb{1}(c)$ diagonally dominant.
- Thus, generically, the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
R(p) & \mathbb{1}(c)]
\end{array}\right.
$$

has empty co-kernel, and hence rank $d n-\binom{d+1}{2}+k$.

## Examples: $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{k}=2$
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(b)
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- The main theorem implies that for $d=1,2$, there is a deterministic, polynomial time algorithm to check whether a $k$-coordinated graph ( $G, c$ ) is generically rigid in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ :
We have deterministic independence oracles for the matroids $M_{d, n}$ when $d=1,2$, and for $T_{E}(\mathcal{E})$.
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## Further comments and open questions

- The main theorem implies that for $d=1,2$, there is a deterministic, polynomial time algorithm to check whether a $k$-coordinated graph ( $G, c$ ) is generically rigid in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ :
We have deterministic independence oracles for the matroids $M_{d, n}$ when $d=1,2$, and for $T_{E}(\mathcal{E})$.
Edmonds' algorithm yields a deterministic polynomial time algorithm for $M_{d, n} \vee T_{E}(\mathcal{E})$ for these $d$ and any $k$.
- For $d=2$ and $k=1$, the main theorem can be proved directly using a Henneberg-type construction sequence (see Serocold's thesis).
- Further work:
- Extensions to other constraint systems (body-bar, direction-length, etc.)
- Symmetric and periodic frameworks (partial results in Serocold's thesis)
- Coordination classes maintaining sums or ratios of edge lengths
- Global coordinated rigidity


## Thank you!

## Questions?

Reference:

- Bernd Schulze, Hattie Serocold and Louis Theran, Frameworks with coordinated edge motions, arXiv:1807.05376.

